## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

ALLEN RICHARDSON,	) CASE NO. 1:09CV1611
DETITIONED	)
PETITIONER,	) JUDGE SARA LIOI
VS.	) ORDER
	)
BENNIE KELLY, Warden,	)
	)
RESPONDENT.	)
	)

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in the above-entitled action. Under the relevant statute:

[. . .] Within ten days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). In this case, the ten-day period has elapsed and no objections have been filed. The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation constitutes a waiver of a de novo determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation and accepts the same. Accordingly, the above captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED** as time-barred under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). Further, the Court **CERTIFIES** that an

Case: 1:09-cv-01611-SL Doc #: 10 Filed: 03/04/10 2 of 2. PageID #: 237

appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(a)(3), 2253(c); Fed.R.App.P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2010

HONORABLE SARA LIOI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE